UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,649	04/21/2004	Marianne Painter	12150.0011U4	4927
23859 <b>Ballard Spahr</b> L	7590 04/28/201 LP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 1000			BOYCE, ANDRE D	
999 PEACHTR ATLANTA, GA	:=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/828,649	PAINTER ET AL.	PAINTER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andre Boyce	3623				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 25 January 2010					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ This action is non-final.					
·=	/ <del></del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologica in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1000 C	.5. 11, 400 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/828,649 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

 After further review of the claims, and Applicant's responses filed 9/24/09 and 1/25/10, the Examiner vacates the restriction requirement mailed 3/31/09, in lieu of the following requirement.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-15, drawn selecting qualification forms, including filtering qualification forms automatically with first selection criteria; and filtering qualification forms remaining after automatic filtering with second selection criteria, receiving selection criteria comprising parameters pertaining to information fields contained within qualification forums stored in a database; and filtering the qualification forums with the selection criteria, classified in class 705, subclass 38.
  - II. Claims 16-20, drawn to receiving parameters for customizing a search on a plurality of qualification forms stored in a database; conducting the search on the plurality of qualification forms stored in the database based on the received parameters; organizing qualification forms matching the parameters based on the parameters; displaying the qualification forms matching the customized search; and receiving data for marking one or more qualification forums for purchase, classified in class 705, subclass 38.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,649

Art Unit: 3623

III Claims 21-25, drawn to identifying a financial service provider for a business transaction, comprising: receiving selection criteria from a financial service provider; receiving qualification form data from one of a qualification form applicant and financial service provider; and automatically applying the selection criteria to determine if the qualification form data falls within the selection criteria, and receiving a credit score about the qualification form applicant from a credit agency, classified in class 705, subclass 38.

Page 3

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as filtering qualification forms automatically with first selection criteria; and filtering qualification forms remaining after automatic filtering with second selection criteria, receiving selection criteria comprising parameters pertaining to information fields contained within qualification forums stored in a database; filtering the qualification forums with the selection criteria.

In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as receiving parameters for customizing a search on a plurality of qualification forms stored in a database; conducting the search on the plurality of qualification forms stored in the database based on the received parameters; organizing qualification forms matching the

Application/Control Number: 10/828,649 Page 4

Art Unit: 3623

parameters based on the parameters; displaying the qualification forms matching the customized search; and receiving data for marking one or more qualification forums for purchase.

In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such identifying a financial service provider for a business transaction, including receiving qualification form data from one of a qualification form applicant and financial service provider comprising receiving a credit score about the qualification form applicant from a credit agency. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 4. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

Application/Control Number: 10/828,649

Art Unit: 3623

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Page 5

Specifically, the inventions require, inter alia, a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or <a href="employing different search queries">employing different search queries</a>).

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 10/828,649

Art Unit: 3623

showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 6

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre Boyce whose telephone number is (571)272-6726. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Beth Boswell can be reached on (571) 272-6737. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,649 Page 7

Art Unit: 3623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andre Boyce/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623 April 26, 2010